



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CWP-5676-2026

Date of Decision: 19.03.2026

MANWATI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Raj Kumar Sharma, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to conduct an impartial inquiry and initiate legal action against private respondent Nos. 5 to 7 by registering FIR under different Sections of BNS. She is further seeking direction to respondents to restore her possession over the property in question.

2. The petitioner claims that her father who passed away on 30.04.2001 vide receipt Nos. 50 & 51 dated 03.04.1965 purchased two plots in an auction conducted by Gram Panchayat, Tigaon. He was survived by his wife and daughter i.e. the petitioner. Her mother paid house tax vide receipt dated 11.09.2004. She complained to Village Sarpanch alleging that respondent Nos.



5 to 7 are not vacating her premises. The Village Sarpanch wrote to SHO for vacation of the property. She sent a representation to SHO against forced occupation of property and threats given by respondent Nos. 5 to 7. She was forced to file affidavit dated 19.05.2025 withdrawing her complaint. She has served legal notice dated 07.11.2025 upon respondents but to no avail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is paying house tax and property has been got transferred on the basis of forged documents.

4. The petitioner is making allegations against private respondents. There is dispute between petitioner and private respondents with respect to one house located in Village Tigaon, District Faridabad. The petitioner has effective and efficacious civil as well as criminal remedies. There seems no reason to invoke writ jurisdiction.

5. In the backdrop, this Court is of the considered opinion that petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits.

6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No