

2026.PHHC:040371



202.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4969-2001 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.03.2026

Sobhna Bhalla and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the words “with immediate effect” occurring in the first part of Annexures P-12 and P-13; and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners with effect from any reasonable date in the year 1986.

2. In terms of order dated 07.02.2019, status report by way of affidavit dated 25.02.2019 of Sapna, Chief Architect, Punjab, Department of Architecture, Chandigarh, on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, is on record, wherein it has been stated as under:-

“5. That on the receipt of the copy of the above order dated 7.2.2019, it is submitted that during the pendency of the instant

writ petition, both the petitioners have been promoted as Draftsman, Senior Draftsman and Head Draftsman on various dates. The detail of their promotions is given as under:-

Sr. No.	Name of Employee	Date of Promotion as Draftsman	Date of Promotion as Senior Draftsman	Date of Promotion as Head Draftsman
1.	Smt. Sobhna Bhalla	18.4.2011	22.5.2013	27.06.2016
2.	Smt. Raminder Kaur	18.4.2011	22.5.2013	27.06.2016

That the instant status report by way of affidavit is being filed in compliance to the order dated 7.2.2019 passed by the Hon’ble High Court.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sanjeev Kumar, submits that petitioners No.1 and 2 have retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.03.2023 and 31.10.2021 respectively.
4. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.
5. In this view of the matter, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition in case any cause still survives.
6. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:Yes/No

Whether reportable:Yes/No