



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

FAO-2480-1995**Reserved on : 15.01.2026****Pronounced on : 19.03.2026****Uploaded on : 19.03.2026**

Whether only operative part of the judgment is pronounced? *No*

Whether full judgment is pronounced? *Yes*

Ajaib Kaur**..... Appellant**

versus

Lakhmir Singh**..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Hamee Azad, Advocate for
 Mr. Amrik Singh Kalra, Advocate
 for the appellant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present appeal is directed against order dated 30.06.1995 passed by District Judge, Ludhiana whereby application filed under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 by respondent along with the appeal filed under Section 96 CPC against judgment and decree dated 03.06.1995 passed by Additional Senior Sub Judge, Khanna has been allowed.

2. The appeal is pending in this Court since 1995. On 15.01.2026 when the matter was taken up, counsel for the appellant sought time to apprise the Court of the fate of the main appeal. More than 02 months have passed, no information has been supplied. Be that as it may, keeping in view that the injunction application was allowed more than 31 years ago, this Court finds that by now the main appeal must have been decided.



3. In view of above, the present appeal filed against the order passed on miscellaneous application has been rendered infructuous by efflux of time.
4. In view thereof, this Court finds no reason to pass any further order.
5. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.03.2026
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No