



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4556-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision: **24.03.2026**

LABH SINGH

....Petitioner

VERSUS

M/S SWASTIK FEEDS LIMITED AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court vide order dated 17.03.2026, had passed the hereinafter extracted order:-

“As per office report, the petitioner has been served, in terms of the order dated 26.02.2026, passed by this Court. However, service upon respondent No.1 could not be effected, as the firm/factory is closed.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, despite service.

Purely, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to 24.03.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

2. It seems that since the firm/factory (respondent no.1), has already been closed, the petitioner-workman, has lost his interest in pursuing the instant matter. Therefore, despite service of court notice upon the petitioner, he has not caused appearance.

3. In such circumstances, the instant petition is ordered to be **dismissed for non-prosecution.**

4. All pending application(s), if any, stand **disposed** of accordingly.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

March 24, 2026
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No