



CWP-4539-2001 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(219)

CWP-4539-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.05.2026

Baljeet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenge is thrown to the order dated 13.03.2001 (Annexure P-3), passed by respondent No.2, wherethrough, private respondents No.7 to 11, who were juniors to the petitioners, were promoted on the post of Draftsman, by overlooking the fact that the petitioners were seniors to them and duly qualified, by virtue of their services as Junior Draftsman, rendered in the Irrigation Department, Punjab, prior to the absorption in the present department, i.e. Public Health, Punjab.

2. The instant writ petition is pending adjudication since 2001. However, reply in the instant matter was filed only on 23.04.2026, which speaks that the case of the petitioners for promotion were considered on 10.09.2001, 06.12.2001 and 14.12.2001, and they have been promoted to the

post of Draftsman, in their respective pay scales.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the petitioners have been promoted. However, it does not transpire from the order, as to whether, they were promoted from the date of passing of the order, or from the date when their juniors were promoted. He further submits that even it is also not clear, as to whether, *inter se* seniority of the petitioners, has been maintained or not, and for the said purpose, he wishes to move a detailed representation before the authorities concerned, after ascertaining all the facts, and has sought a *mandamus*, in this regard.
4. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with a *mandamus*, upon the respondents, in case, the petitioners prefer a detailed representation, within a period of four weeks, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the latter shall decide the same, within a period of six weeks, thereafter, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the parties.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. Pending applications, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

May 06, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No