



CWP-4448-2001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(218)

CWP-4448-2001
Date of Decision : 09.04.2026

Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II, Ludhiana ...Petitioner

Versus

The Employees Provident Fund
Appellate Tribunal and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate
Mr. Sarvesh Rattan, Advocate and
Mr. Sahaj Punj, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order dated 16.11.2000 (Annexure P-3), passed by learned Employees Provident Fund Appellate Tribunal (respondent No.1).
2. Learned Tribunal has recorded a factual finding, to the effect, that there is no evidence on record, which could suggest that respondent No.2/Management, is coverable as per the provisions of the Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any evidence through which, it can be established that respondent No.2/Management is covered.
4. In view of the above, this Court does not find any merit in the instant writ petition, and the same is hereby, **dismissed**, and the order dated 16.11.2000 (Annexure P-3), passed by learned Employees Provident Fund Appellate Tribunal (respondent No.1), is **upheld**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 09, 2026

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No