



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

**CRM-M-10840-2026
Date of Decision: 27.04.2026**

JATINDER SINGH ALIAS NIKKA**... PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB**... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.0066, Dated: 26.07.2025, Under Sections 109,351(2), 191(3),190 of BNS 2023 and Section 238 of BNS of 2023 added later on, registered at Police Station Maloud, District Khanna.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with his co-accused, inflicted injuries upon the person of the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, as no specific injury or role has been attributed to him. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 12.12.2025 and is not involved in any other case. It is also contended that co-accused, namely Beant Singh and Binder Singh @ Bindri, have already



been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court. He, therefore, prays for the release of the petitioner on regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent–State and has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail on the ground that the petitioner was present at the spot and was part of unlawful assembly. He has filed the status report by way of an affidavit of Harmanpreet Singh (PPS) Deputy Superintendent of Police, Payal, Sub-Division Payal, Police District Khanna District Ludhiana in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions and considering that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.12.2025; co-accused have already been granted bail coupled with the fact that the trial is likely to take considerable time, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by further incarceration of the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is granted the concession of regular bail during the pendency of the trial

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.



10. It is clarified that if while on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulged in any other criminal case/activity, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

27.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:		Yes/No