

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:076049



FAO-1548-2002(O&M)

FAO-1549-2002 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-14.05.2026

(223.1) **FAO-1548-2002 (O&M)**

SUSHIL KUMAR

... Appellant

Versus

HARI KUMAR AND OTHERS

... Respondents

(223.2) **FAO-1549-2002 (O&M)**

GULSHAN KUMAR

... Appellant

Versus

HARI KUMAR AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellants in both the appeals.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate with
Ms. Geetika Rani, Advocate,
for respondent No.3/Insurance Company in both the appeals.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants in either of the appeals today. Notices issued to the appellants have been received back with the reports that the appellant in FAO No. 1548 of 2002 has left the given address, whereas the appellant in FAO No. 1549 of 2002 could not be found at home despite repeated visits. Since the matter pertains to the year 2002 and is pending for a considerable length of time, and as none has appeared on behalf of the appellants today, it appears that the appellants are no longer interested in pursuing the present appeals.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping these appeals on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeals stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main cases stand disposed of, all miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them .

5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the other connected case.

14th May, 2026
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No