



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.162

**CRWP-2035-2026
Decided on : 07.03.2026**

Pt. Sham Sunder

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL


Present : Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner has already made representation dated 08.02.2026 (Annexure P-4) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur raising the said grievances, but no action has been taken so far.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to consider the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon qua threat perception.

Notice of motion.



CRWP-2035-2026 2

4. Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course.

5. In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 08.02.2026 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner qua threat perception only within a period of 30 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

07.03.2026
mamta

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No