



CRM-M-10942-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-10942-2026
Decided on: 26.05.2026

Sam Manuri @ Sham Munari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gurmohan Singh Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this second petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.66 dated 20.05.2021, under Sections 307, 341, 323, 324, 148, 149, 201 IPC at Police Station Bhargo Camp, District Jalandhar.

2. While disposing of first anticipatory bail petition on 10.08.2021, following order was passed:

“(i) Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

(ii) After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition.

(iii) The same is not opposed to by learned State Counsel.

(iv) Accordingly, in the light of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is dismissed as withdrawn.”

**CRM-M-10942-2026****2**

3. After a period of 05 years, present second anticipatory bail petition has been moved, which infact is not maintainable.
4. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Learned State counsel is further directed to examine the matter and bring it to the notice of Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar as to for what reasons the Investigating Officer had never been arrested the petitioner, since the time of registration of the FIR and even after withdrawal of the anticipatory bail petition before this Court.

26.05.2026

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**YES/~~NO~~
~~YES~~/NO**