



CRWP-2005-2026 #1#

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-2005-2026**Date of Decision:-06.04.2026****Sahiba & Anr.**

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. U.M. Khan, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Imtiyaz Hussain, Advocate for the respondent nos.4 to 12.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present criminal writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass and interfere in the life of the petitioners at the instance of respondent nos.4 to 13.

Though the petition was initially filed as the petitioners were in a liv-in-relationship, during the course of the instant proceedings the petitioners have solemnized *Nikaah* vide *Nikaahnama* dated 6.3.2026. The copy of the said *Nikaahnama* is marked as X. As they belong to the muslim community, the petitioner no.2 who as per one version is less than the age of 16 years is competent to solemnize marriage as the age of puberty is considered 15 years and, therefore, the age of marriage and the petitioner no.2 is certainly above that age. Reliance is placed on a judgment of this Court in *Gulam Deen & Anr. Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. CRWP-5744-2022 Decided on 13.06.2022* against which the SLP No.10036/2022 was



filed which was dismissed vide order dated 19.08.2025.

The petitioners have prayed for the issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being violated by the private respondents. They feel reasonable apprehension and a threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

In the context of the aforesaid relief, the petitioners have approached the Superintendent of Police, Nuh-respondent no.2 by way of a representation dated 18.02.2026 (Annexure P-3).

At this stage, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 06, 2026
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No