



CWP-5587-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

CWP-5587-2026

Date of Decision: 30.03.2026

Surinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate,
Mr. Akash Choudhary, Advocate and
Mr. Vipul Thakur, Advocate, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Deepak Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of letter (Annexure P-4) whereby her claim under Deen Dayal Upadhyay Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojana has been rejected on the ground of delay.

2. The petitioner claims that her son passed away on 26.04.2024. She vide application dated 14.09.2024 applied for financial assistance under aforesaid Yojna. The respondent has rejected her claim on the ground of delay.

3. Learned State counsel on being confronted with order dated 27.03.2026 passed by this Court in *CWP No.9253 of 2026* expressed his inability to distinguish facts and issues involved in the present petition from said order.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of in terms of order dated 27.03.2026 passed in *CWP No.9253 of 2026*.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.03.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No