



CWP-5412-2026 (O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

150

CWP-5412-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.03.2026

Naresh Kumar and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Akshat Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSH BUNGER J. (Oral)

1 Petition herein is, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the officials respondents to correct the revenue entries as reflected against khewat No. 235, total area measuirng 249 kanals and 02 marlas situated at village Kheda Bakhta, Tehsil Julana, District Jind by restoring the name of *Jumla Mushtarka Malkan* in the column of ownership.

2. It is submitted that as per *Jamabandi* for the year 2017-18 (Annexure P-1), the land in question was recorded as the ownership of *Jumla Mushtarka Malkan*, however, on the basis of the judgment dated 07.04.2022 rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***State of Haryana vs. Jai Singh***, the entries in the ownership column was changed from *Jumla Mushtarka Malkan* to Panchayat Deh vide mutation No.1711. It is submitted that subsequently, the judgment dated 07.04.2022 rendered in the case of ***State of Haryana vs. Jai Singh decided on 07.04.2022*** was re-called and the matter has been decided afresh by the Hon'ble Supreme

**CWP-5412-2026 (O&M)**

-2-

Court vide judgment dated 16.09.2025, whereby recognizing the rights of proprietor, as regards the land recorded as *Jumla Mushtarka Malkan*.

3. It is submitted that although the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 03.11.2025 (Annexure P-3) for carrying out the necessary corrections in the revenue records; however, no heed has been paid to the same.

4. At this stage, Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Sr. DAG, Hayana appears on behalf of the respondents-State, in pursuance of the advance copy of paper book having already been supplied to him and submits that the representation submitted by the petitioners would be considered and decided by the concerned/competent authority within a period of three months from today, after affording due opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid stand taken by the learned State counsel, no further order is required to be passed and the present writ petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

6. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
ankit

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No