



CRM-M-10206-2026

1

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10206-2026

Date of decision: 25.03.2026

RAVI INDER SINGH @ RAVIINDER SINGH AND OTHERS**....Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

Present: Mr. Arshpreet Khadial, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Yagsimant Attri, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate
for the complainant.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (Oral):

Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.11 dated 27.01.2026 under Sections 281, 125(a), 324(4), 115(2), 304(2), 132, 221 and 191(3) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa.

2. On 09.03.2026, following order had been passed: -

"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.11 dated 27.01.2026 registered under Sections 281, 125(a), 324(4), 115(2), 304(2), 132, 221 and 191(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been falsely



implicated in the present case and they have no concern with the said offence. He argued that even if the contents of the FIR are taken to be true, even then petitioner No.1-Ravi Inder Singh is only stated to have raised a lalkara and no specific role is attributed to petitioner No.3-Rajdeep Singh, whereas petitioner No.2-Nihal Singh had only snatched the gold chain of the complainant but no specific injury has been attributed to any of the petitioner in the alleged incident. Moreover, the petitioners have clean antecedents as they are not involved in any other case and no recovery is to be effected from them. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the status report in the matter, which is taken on record and while referring to the same, he has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioners are serious in nature. He argued that all the petitioners were specifically named in the FIR and actively participated in the crime. However, he has not controverted the fact that the petitioners are first time offenders as they are not involved in any other case.

Learned counsel for the complainant, while opposing the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, has contended that the petitioners have played an active role in the crime and, thus, do not deserve the concession of bail.

Adjourned to 25.03.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 09.03.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no longer required for further investigation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant appears and submits that the offence committed by the petitioner is serious in nature and he does not deserve concession of bail.

6. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 09.03.2026 is made absolute. The petitioners shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

25.03.2026

monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No