



250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10237-2026

Date of decision : 08.04.2026

Adil Khan

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Sagar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.225 dated 30.10.2025, under Sections 115, 118(1), 190, 191(3), 324(4), 333 & 351(2) of BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act (Sections 118(2) & 238 of BNS added later on and Sections 25-54-59 of Arms Act, were deleted), registered at Police Station Ismailabad, Kurukshetra.

2. As per the facts of the case, the FIR was lodged on the statement of complainant, namely, Gurmukh Singh. It was alleged that that the complainant runs a workshop under the name of 'Brothers Car Workshop' at Ismailabad. It was alleged that the complainant came to know that Adil Khan (petitioner herein) is spreading rumor about the complainant that he started selling intoxicants at his shop. Upon this, on 28.10.2025 at 01:00 pm, the complainant called Adil Khan in this regard at his garage and Adil alongwith his friends Gulshan, who was having revolver and Ritik, Sachin, Jashan and Rajat came at the spot. The friend of complainant namely Maninder was also present at the spot. Gulshan pointed his revolver upon the complainant party. Thereafter, they left the



spot in a Car bearing No.HR-31L-4941 while abusing and giving threat to kill in future. It was alleged that thereafter, at about 04:30-05:00 p.m. the complainant alongwith his friends Maninder Singh, Manpreet Singh, Sukhwant Singh, Satwinder Singh was sitting at his garage and then Adil armed with Danda, along with his friends who were also armed with *Khandas & Dandas* entered in his garage. Due to fear, the complainant and his friends went inside the cabin and bolted the door from inside. The assailants broke the glass of cabin and entered inside and pulled out the complainant from the cabin and inflicted injuries on his head and shoulder due to which he became unconscious and fell down. All the assailants after beating the complainant fled from the spot with their respective weapons and thereafter, the complainant and his friend was shifted to KT Hospital, Chammu Kalan. Thus, the request was made to take the legal action against the assailants. On registration of FIR, investigation commenced. The petitioner was arrested on 13.11.2025. On completion of investigation, challan was presented. On framing of charges, the trial commenced. The petitioner approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, the same was declined after hearing both the sides by Learned trial Court vide order dated 11.02.2026. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner is before this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing of present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that as per the case of the prosecution itself, there are general allegations against the petitioner. He submits that the petitioner has not been attributed any specific injury. He submits that similarly situated co-



accused have already been granted bail by the trial Court as well as by this Court. He submits that the petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest. He, thus, submits that the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

4. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Nirmal Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Pehowa, Kurukshetra has been filed on behalf of respondent-State in the Court today, same is taken on record.

5. Per contra, learned State counsel has also opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner along with the co-accused was found to be part of an unlawful assembly. She submits that the petitioner was armed with a *Danda*. She submits that the injury under Section 326 IPC is attributed to co-accused and not to the petitioner. She, on instructions, has submitted that investigation is complete, challan has been filed, however, charges are yet to be framed.

6. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner was alleged to be a part of the unlawful assembly. Offence as alleged against the petitioner under Section 326 IPC is attributed to co-accused and not to the petitioner. The petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest and as per custody certificate, the petitioner is involved in one more case, however, he is on bail in the said case. As submitted before this Court, co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted bail. Investigation is complete and challan has been presented.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

8. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on



the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, the Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for the grant of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

08.04.2026

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

ps-I

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No