



CWP-5285-2026

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-5285-2026

Date of Decision: 27.04.2026

Harminder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Ms. Satinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner
(through video conferencing)

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to stop harassing and torturing him.

2. The petitioner is claiming that he is being harassed, detained and tortured by respondents Nos.4 and 5 misusing their official power. They are threatening to implicate him in false criminal cases.

3. On 20.02.2026, the following order was passed:

“Counsel for the petitioner asserts that petitioner is being deliberately harassed as he is an active member of an opposing political party.

Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the official respondents.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, has put in appearance on their behalf. Upon instructions from Ms. Rajwant Kaur, SI/SHO, Police Station C Division, Amritsar, he states that a routine enquiry was

**CWP-5285-2026****-2-**

conducted. He, however, requests for deferment to file a short affidavit.

List on 17.03.2026.”

4. Learned State counsel, pointing out affidavit dated 19.04.2026, submits that there was routine checking on the part of officers. The petitioner was under surveillance because of his involvement in an NDPS case. He assures the Court that no action which is impermissible in law would be taken against the petitioner. He would not be called in Police Station without concrete evidence or information.

5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, no further order is warranted.

6. Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No