



-1-

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6329-2022**Date of decision:-25.02.2026**

Sandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Tandon, Advocate
for the petitioner (THROUGH V.C.).

Mr. Abhimanyu Jangra, Advocate
for respondents (THROUGH V.C.).

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the writ petition, PSPCL has framed a policy on 08.12.2023 to provide for compensation in cases of fatal/non-fatal accidents due to electrocution.

2. Counsel for the respondents states that although a sum of Rs.70,000/- has been granted as compensation to petitioner on account of disability suffered by her due to electric shock, but in case petitioner submits an application under 2023 policy, it shall be decided and compensation found due shall be assessed after adjusting the compensation already disbursed.



-2-

106

3. In view of the above statement made by counsel for respondents, writ petition is disposed of.

4. In case an application is moved by the petitioner within one month from today, respondents shall consider it in accordance with the 2003 policy and pass an appropriate order thereon within a period of four months thereafter. If the petitioner is found entitled to compensation, the amount already disbursed to him shall be adjusted.

5. In case the respondents reject the application, they shall pass a detailed order assigning reasons for rejection.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

25.02.2026

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No