



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M No.10219 of 2026
Date of decision : 4.5.2026
Date of uploading : 5.5.2026

Lakhwinder SinghPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner
Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 30.3.2026, the following order was passed:
- 'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.406 dated 3.12.2025, under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail. Inter alia, contends that somewhat similarly placed co-accused Randhir Singh has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 6.3.2026 passed in CRM-M No.4612 of 2026 & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein. Put up on 4.5.2026.*
- The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 4.4.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya*



Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 30.3.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
- 2.1 Office note indicates that respondent no.2 stands served. However, none has caused appearance on behalf of the said respondent.
3. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. Interim order dated 30.3.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

4.5.2026
Ashwanii