

**CWP-6654-2021****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(249)****CWP-6654-2021****Date of Decision : 27.01.2026**

Harmail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARIPresent: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenge is thrown to letter dated 18.10.2020 (Annexure P-3), passed by the respondent No.3, whereby services rendered by the petitioner before regularization in the work charge capacity, has not been considered as qualifying service, and pension has been denied to allot the EPF accounts, on regularization as per previous pension.

2. While referring to the contents of the reply as filed by the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is positive assertion on behalf of the respondents, that all the benefits have now been released to the petitioner. Therefore, he does not wish to press the instant writ petition. However, he submits that there is delay of two years in making the payment, and therefore, a direction be passed upon the respondents concerned, to grant the interest on delayed payment.



CWP-6654-2021

3. Since the asked for relief is not prayed in the instant writ petition, therefore, the petitioner, at the first instance, is directed to approach the authorities concerned, for payment of interest on the delayed payment, if any.
4. Consequently, no further direction is required to be passed in the instant writ petition, and the same is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 27, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No