

2026:PHHC:054583



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-9953-2026

Date of decision: 07.04.2026

YAKUB ALI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Aditya Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. (Ms.) Savi Nagpal, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 203 dated 10.09.2025, registered under Section(s) 103, 3(5) & 61(2) (added later on) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case has been registered at the instance of Saif Ali with respect to the murder of Mam Hussain-brother of Saif Ali. He contends that the motive in the present case was attributed against Saif Ali and it has been alleged that the petitioner aided and connived with Saif Ali to commit murder of Mam Hussain. He submits that the entire case is based solely on an extra judicial confession of co-accused Randeep Singh @ Ramma before one Shindo. It is contended that main accused Saif Ali has already been granted concession of regular bail vide order dated 21.01.2026 passed in

CRM-M-2073-2026. He further contends that the case of the petitioner would be on a better footing as compared to the said co-accused Saif Ali as the petitioner had no motive. Besides, the case is based on circumstantial evidence and the petitioner has not been attributed any specific injury.

3. Learned State Counsel on the other hand contends that the petitioner alongwith main accused Saif Ali had caused murder of Mam Hussain-brother of Saif Ali. She, however, does not dispute that the said co-accused has already been granted concession of regular bail in CRM-M-2073-2026.

4. Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and taking into consideration that the co-accused -Saif Ali to whom attribution is identical to the petitioner, has already been granted concession of regular bail, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

5. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

APRIL 07, 2026

Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No