

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026 PHHC 07403H



CRM-M-9861-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.05.2026

HARSHDEEP SINGH ALIAS HARSH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. S.C.Patial, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.98 dated 16.07.2025, under Section 105 BNS (Section 3(5) of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Sri Chamkaur Sahib, Rupnagar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that Kuljit Singh, husband of the complainant had died due to overdose of drugs. It is alleged that petitioner was one of the accused who was last seen with the deceased. The complainant alleged that the petitioner along with other co-accused have committed murder of her husband by giving him overdose of drugs.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The deceased had died due to overdose of drugs administered by the co-accused of the petitioner. The petitioner has been nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused. The petitioner is in custody for the last 09 months and 24

days and he is not involved in any other case.

4. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 09 months and 24 days.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner; the deceased had died due to overdose of drugs; the petitioner is in custody for the last 09 months and 24 days; he is not involved in any other case; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, in case the petitioner indulges in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to move an application for cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.05.2026
Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No