

CRM-M-9883-2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209)

CRM-M-9883-2026
Date of Decision:-30.03.2026

Harman Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN


Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Rohilla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed grant of anticipatory bail in a case arising out of G.D. Nos. 26 and 27 dated 26.12.2025 (Annexures P-1 and P-2), recorded in FIR No. 204 dated 22.11.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 74, 333, 324(4), 351(3), 303(2), 190, 191(3) of the BNS, with Section 117(2) of the BNS added later, at Police Station Sadar Nakodar, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar
2. Vide order dated 25.02.2026, this Court while granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail directed the petitioners to join investigation.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is no longer required.

2026PHHC:049496



CRM-M-9883-2026

4. In light of the above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 25.02.2026 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 482 of BNSS, 2023.

5. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

6. It is made clear that, in case, the petitioners are found involved in any such activity once again, the present concession granted shall automatically stand vacated.

7. It is further made clear that this order shall not be construed as parity *qua* any other co-accused.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

30.03.2026

Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No