



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

205

CWP-2559-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.03.2026.

Nirmala and another

....Petitioners.

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (Oral)

The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.1 to 3 to regularize the services of the petitioners from the date of *ad hoc* appointment.

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel at the very outset submits that nothing survives in the present petition as the grievance raised by the petitioners has been substantially redressed and they have been regularized way back in the year 2003 and 2004.

4. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the instant writ petition by filing an appropriate application in case the factual position as stated by learned counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect.

5. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

23.03.2026*jitender*

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No