



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

140

**CRM-M No.10060 of 2026
Date of decision : 10.3.2026
Date of uploading : 10.3.2026**

Beant Alia @ Beant Gogi**Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl. AG, Punjab

Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate, for the complainant

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.212 dated 10.11.2025 under Sections 115(2), 109, 61(2), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana.

2. The case set up in the FIR in question (as set out in the present petition by the petitioner) is as follows:-

'Statement of Parandeep Singh son of Lakhvir Singh resident of H.No.220, village Mundian Kalan, Mobile Ludhiana, aged about 32 years, No.8725000023. Stated that I am a resident of the above address and engaged in agriculture work. Today, on 10/11/2025, at around 12-12:30



PM, I went with my relative uncle Karanjit Singh and my friend Amarjit Bama to sow wheat crop in our land of about 7 and a half quintals which is located in village Bhamian Kalan along with a tractor. When we were plowing the land to sow wheat crop in our land, Tajinder Singh son of Jaswant Singh resident of H.No.53, village Bhamian Kalan, Ludhiana and 2 other people with him, one shorn hair person and one Sikh person, came in a white colored Creta car No.PB10-JX-1350 and as soon as they arrived, Tajinder Singh son of Jaswant Singh got down from the car, who was holding a pistol in his hand and the people with him also got out of the car. Tajinder Singh and 2 persons who came with him raised lalkaras that today we should not leave them but leave because they have come to plow our land. Tajinder Singh held a pistol in his hand and fired directly at me with the intention of killing me. I was saved by lowering my head and I ran towards the wall to save my life. Then he fired another shot behind me. I ran as fast as I could and he fired another shot. I lay down on the ground, which is why I was saved. I ran to the meter room built in my land. Then Tajinder Singh and 2 persons who came with him surrounded me, my uncle Karanjit Singh and my friend Amarjit Bama and started beating them. During this, Amarjit Bama fell on the ground. The accused kicked him. I raised alarm. Then other people started gathering, on which Tajinder Singh son of Jaswant Singh and 2 persons who came with him fled away from the spot along with their respective weapons and a vehicle while extending life threats. I can recognize 2 persons who came with Tajinder Singh when they come in front. In the meantime, Lakhwinder Singh son of Mohan Singh, Satpal Singh son of Gurmej Singh, who used to work near my fields, also came to the spot, who took Amarjit Bama the Civil Hospital, Ludhiana for treatment. Today, I came to you and got recorded the statement, heard which is correct. I am aggrieved. Legal action should be taken. Sd/-Parandeep Singh No.87250-00023.'

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is in custody since 10.11.2025. Learned counsel has further argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question primarily on account of his having friendly relationship with one Tajinder Singh, who is alleged to fire upon the victim. Learned counsel has further



submitted that, assuming *arguendo*, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the role ascribed to the petitioner is of accompanying the main accused namely Tajinder Singh, who is alleged to have fired and having raised *lalkara*. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner was earlier extended the concession of interim regular bail on account of marriage of his son vide order dated 22.1.2026 passed in CRM-M No.649 of 2026, and the petitioner has not misused the same and surrendered back in time. Learned counsel has further iterated that there is no other pending FIR against the petitioner. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition by arguing that the allegations raised are serious in nature and thus the petitioner does not deserve the concession of the regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 9.3.2026 in Court, which is taken on record.

4.1 Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail by arguing that there are direct and serious allegations against the petitioner. Learned counsel has further argued that the petitioner was actively involved in the commission of offence. In case the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is all the likelihood of the petitioner to threaten the witnesses/victim. Thus, dismissal of the bail petition is prayed for.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 10.11.2025 wherein after



investigation was carried out; challan was prepared on 12.1.2026 and subsequently filed. Total 16 prosecution witnesses have been cited and it is conceded case of the parties that none has been examined till date. It is thus indubitable that culmination of trial will take its own time. The rival contentions raised at Bar give rise to debatable issues which shall be ratiocinated upon during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage, lest it may prejudice the trial. Nothing tangible has been brought forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the prosecution evidence.

6.1 As per custody certificate dated 9.3.2026 filed by learned State counsel, the petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of 3 months and 19 days & is not shown to be involved in any other case.

Suffice to say, further detention of the petitioner as an undertrial is not warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

7. In view of above, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.



- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell-phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

8. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. The FIR-complainant/victim shall be at liberty to apply before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana for grant of protection, in case he apprehends active threat. In case any such plea is raised by the FIR-complainant/victim, the same shall be ratiocinated upon and decision thereupon be taken within 7 days of filing of the said plea.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

10.3.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No