



CRM-M-9704-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-9704-2026

Date of decision : 02.04.2026

RAVINDER SINGH ALIAS RAVI

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Karan Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.209 dated 06.09.2025, under Sections 105, 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant, Sunny (brother of the deceased Ravi) alleged that his brother Ravi was habitual of consuming liquor who went with his friend Davinder Singh but did not return home. Later on, the complainant came to know about a dead body which was identified by him as his brother in the mortuary of Guru Gobind Singh Medical College and Hospital, Faridkot. It is alleged that the deceased was administered some poisonous substance by one Davinder Singh, as a result of which he died. The allegation against the present petitioner is that he, being the brother of

**CRM-M-9704-2026****-2-**

Davinder Singh, was also present at the spot and involved in the commission of the alleged offence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and was falsely implicated in this case on the basis of supplementary statement of the complainant vide rapat No.33 dated 08.09.2025. There is no incriminating material which would connect the petitioner with the alleged offence as neither any overt act nor any motive has been attributed to the petitioner. He further submits that the FIR is based on hearsay and as per the chemical examination report, no poisonous substance was detected. In this regard, he has referred to the chemical report at Annexure R-2 appended with the status report. He also submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 06 months and 23 days. Although the petitioner is involved in a case under the NDPS Act but he is on bail in that case. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time as only 01 out of 13 cited prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate along with status report by way of an affidavit of DSP, Sub Division, Faridkot in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 06 months and 23 days. He, upon instructions, submits that only 01 out of 13 cited prosecution has been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

**CRM-M-9704-2026****-3-**

6. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts that the petitioner was not named in the FIR; he is in custody for the last more than 06 months and 23 days; no motive has been attributed to him; as per chemical examination report (Annexure R-2), no poisonous substance was detected and that the trial is likely to take a long time because only 01 out of 13 cited prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State/complainant would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

April 02, 2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No