

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC:033392



139

**CRM-M-9598-2026**

**Date of decision: 05.03.2026**

**Date of uploading: 05.03.2026**

**Gurpreet Singh @ Goldy**

....Petitioner

V/s

**State of Punjab**

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.225 dated 25.11.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 132, 221 of BNS 2023, at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar.
2. The case set up in the FIR in question (as set out by the petitioner in the present petition) is as follows:-

*“Today, I, the SI/SHO, along with ASI Tarsem Singh 850, ASI Lakha Singh 886, S/CT Gajjat Singh 1159, C2 Gurpreet Singh 1864, C2 Gurjot Singh 435, and CT Principal Singh 1770, were patrolling in a government vehicle (Registration No. PB65-BH-0734) driven by CT Gurrajbir Singh 1964. While present at the bus stand of village Jethuwal in connection with patrolling and searching for suspicious persons, a reliable informant approached me (SI/SHO) and provided information that Sukhpreet Singh (alias Sukha), son of Ranbir Singh, resident of village Ugana, Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala*

*who is a proclaimed offender (fugitive) in a murder case registered at Police Station Khalchian along with an accomplice, is riding a Splendor motorcycle without a number plate. They are reportedly carrying weapons and are traveling from Amritsar towards the Jethuwal canal to execute another crime. The informant stated that if a checkpoint (naka) is set up immediately at the Jethuwal canal bridge, Sukhpreet Singh could be apprehended along with his accomplice and weapons. Since this information is solid and reliable, an offense under Sections 25, 54, and 59 of the Arms Act appears to be committed. A written report (Ruka) is being sent through CT Principal Singh 1770 to the police station for the registration of the case. After registering the FIR, the case number should be communicated. Information should be sent to the Control Room and senior officers via wireless. Special reports should be issued and sent to the Area Magistrate and senior officers. I, the SI/SHO, along with the accompanying staff, am proceeding to set up the checkpoint.”*

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 25.11.2025. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question primarily on account of his friendly relationship with co-accused namely Sukhpreet Singh. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further argued that *assuming arguendo*, the prosecution version available at this juncture is taken to be correct, the petitioner is stated to be not fired upon the police party and the allegations qua the same is upon co-accused Sukhpreet Singh. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further argued that even the fire shot was not hit to anybody. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further argued that the petitioner has suffered incarceration for more

than 3 months & is a man with clean antecedents. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised are serious in nature and, thus, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 04.03.2026 in Court, which is taken on record.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 25.11.2025 whereinafter investigation was carried out and challan was presented on 17.02.2026. Total 11 prosecution have been cited and it is the conceded position before this Court that none of the prosecution witness has been examined till date. It is not in dispute that conclusion of trial will take long time. The rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties; as to the whether the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR, shall be gone into during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage lest it may prejudice the rights of either of the parties. Nothing tangible has been brought forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the remaining prosecution evidence.

7. As per the custody certificate dated 04.03.2026 filed by the learned State counsel, the petitioner has suffered incarceration for 3 months & 5 days and is not shown to be involved in any other FIR/case.

Suffice to say, the further detention of the petitioner in custody is not required in the facts and circumstances of the case.

8. In view of above, the petition in hand is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. The present order, granting regular bail to the petitioner, shall enure for the offences under Sections 25 & 30 of the Arms Act and Section 341(2)(3)(5) of BNS 2023 as well. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

9. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the

State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

10. Ordered accordingly.
11. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
12. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)  
JUDGE

March 05, 2026  
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No