



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

CRM-M-10729-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.02.2026

Vakeel Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

Union of India, Narcotics Control Bureau

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Abhaysher Singh Bhasin, Advocate and
Ms. Janya Sirohi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Senior Public Prosecutor-NCB and
Ms. Himanshu Gautam, Advocate for the respondent(s).

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This third petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing No.NCB-59 dated 09.09.2022 under Section(s) 8/21/23/25/27-A/29/60 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station NCB, Amritsar.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the prosecution evidence has already been concluded and the statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C. also stands recorded. He contends that the case is now fixed for recording of defence evidence. He contends that his prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner is based on period already undergone by the petitioner which is nearly 03 years and 03 months.

3. Counsel for the respondent, however, contends that as the prosecution evidence has been concluded and the case is now fixed for

recording of defence evidence, hence, it will not be appropriate at this stage to accept the aforesaid prayer and instead, the trial Court may be directed to expeditiously conclude the trial.

4. In view of the above, counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the present petition at this stage.

5. The present petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage. The trial Court is, however, directed to hear the arguments and pass a final judgment in the matter either on the date already fixed or in any case not later than two months from the next date fixed before it.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

25.02.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No