



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(226)

CRM-M-9591-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-03.07.2026

NITTIN

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rohit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lokesh Shivansh, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of FIR No.18 dated 20.01.2025 registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 469, & 471 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) at Police Station Mataur, District S.A.S Nagar (Mohali) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 08.08.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 19.02.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned District and Sessions Judge, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali), in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been ef-



fectd between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned learned District and Sessions Judge, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali), and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua petitioners, are hereby quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

03.07.2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No