



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(220)

**CRM-M-10137-2026
DATE OF DECISION: 27.03.2026**

Rohit

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Birender Bikram Attrey, Addl. AG, Haryana

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case bearing FIR No.312 dated 24.07.2025, under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340 and 316(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.
2. Brief facts of the case are that the present FIR was registered against the petitioner on the allegations of cheating the complainant of Rs.6,00,000/-, forging of valuable security as well as document, and using them as genuine, on the pretext of providing her government job in Northern Railways
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since 25.12.2025 i.e for the last more than two months; that investigation has already been completed in this matter and final report has been filed; that a compromise has been effected with the complainant and the petition for quashing of the FIR in question is pending before this Court



CRM-M-10137-2026

on 14.05.2026. Hence, prayer for grant of regular bail is made, in view of the abovesaid compromise.

4. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Birender Bikram Attrey, Addl. AG, Haryana, appeared on behalf of the respondent-State, confirmed that a compromise has been effected between the parties and that the petition for quashing of FIR is pending before this Court, which is fixed for 14.05.2026; that investigation is complete and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any investigation or recovery purposes.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the investigation qua the present petitioner has already been completed and his custodial interrogation is not required; that petitioner is in custody for the last more than two months; that compromise has been effected between the parties and a petition for quashing of FIR in question is pending before this Court; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as measure of punishment as culpability of the accused will be decided at the final stage by the trial Court after appreciating the evidences adduced by both sides and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is ordered to be released



CRM-M-10137-2026

on **regular bail** on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

27.03.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No