



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

285

CRM-M-9532-2026.

Date of Decision: 07.04.2026.

Raj Kumar @ Lada

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Partap S. Pathania, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Virender Partap Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Raj Kumar @ Lada has filed the instant second petition under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0063 dated 02.06.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and lateron added Section 118(2) of the BNS, at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot.

2. On 20.02.2026, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Instant second petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0063 dated 02.06.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and lateron added Section 118(2) of the BNS, at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the first petition bearing number CRM-M-33064 of 2025 – Raj Kumar v. State of Punjab, filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail was withdrawn by the petitioner, vide order dated 14.07.2025 (Annexure P-3). He further submits that the petitioner and the complainant are living in the same locality and the matter has been settled between the parties vide compromise deed dated 31.01.2026 (Annexure P4). He further submits that co-accused namely, Aniket Lalotra @ Honey, who is son of the petitioner, was granted the concession of ad interim anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 18.06.2025, passed in CRM-M-32750 of 2025 – Aniket Lalotra alias Honey v. State of Punjab, which has been confirmed, vide order dated 18.08.2025. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

Mr. Nagesh Paul, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and affirms the factum of compromise.

Adjourned to 6.4.2026.

In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. He shall join the investigation and co-operate with the investigating agency and shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.”

3. Vide a separate order of even date passed in CRM-M-10142-2026, proceedings in the main case FIR No.0063 dated 02.06.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,

2023 and lateron added Section 118(2) of the BNS, at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot, have already been quashed on the basis of compromise.

4. In view of the above, the instant petition seeking anticipatory bail is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

07.04.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No