



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M No.9650 of 2026
Date of decision : 19.3.2026
Date of uploading : 20.3.2026

Arun RosePetitioner
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate, for the petitioner
Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 19.2.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.302 dated 06.11.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4) and 61 of the BNS, 2023, at Police Station Kaithal Sadar, District Kaithal; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR, the petitioner was merely advising the FIR-complainant so as to enable him to pursue his remedy for settlement abroad, co-accused, namely Jasdeep Singh and Sahib have been extended concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 31.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-74094-2025, the petitioner is a man with clean antecedents, & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance service of copy of petition, Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State of Haryana.



Put up on 19.03.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 24.02.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 19.2.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for recovery of money in question.
3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is sought only for recovery of money in question; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 19.2.2026.
4. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 19.2.2026, passed by this Court is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any



other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

19.3.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No