



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

326

CRM-M-9767-2026

GURJOT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

DATE OF DECISION: 05.05.2026

DATE OF UPLOADING:05.05.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Mr. Tarun Takhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan S. Rai, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Naman Takhi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 23.03.2026 passed by this Court, a report dated 09.04.2026 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jalandhar.

Relevant whereof reads as under:-

“(iv) As per the statement of SI Ravinder Singh No.311/Hoshiarpur, the compromise has been effected between accused Gurjot Singh and complainant only and the same has not been effected between all the affected parties.”

In view of the above, the petition in hand is a partial compromise quashing petition, which is not maintainable in terms of dicta of judgment of a Division Bench of this Court in ***CRM-M No.48043 of 2023, titled Rakesh Das v. State of Haryana and another***, decided on 12.11.2024.

Ergo, the petition in hand is dismissed.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

05.05.2026

Jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No