

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132

RSA-2339-1989
Decided on:13.05.2024

Ram Bhagat and another
Versus
Bhim Singh and others

... Appellants
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Chander Pal Tiwana, Advocate for
Mr. R. S. Chahar, Advocate
for the appellants.
Mr. Akhil Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Vineet Kumar, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that inspite of his best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellants, who are defendants in the suit filed by the plaintiffs for permanent injunction. It is submitted that in view of the same, he has also not been able to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal and therefore, he prays that the present appeal may be dismissed for non-prosecution at this stage but liberty may be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.
2. In view of the above facts and circumstances, present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with the aforesaid liberty.
3. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

13.05.2024
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/~~No~~
Whether reportable? Yes/~~No~~