



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(132)

CRM-M-12030-2022(O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 02.02.2026

Gurpreet Singh Ahuja @ Gurpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Raj Rani and another

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Rajinder Singh Bhata, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate, (through VC)
with Mr. Nishant Sidhu, Advocate, for respondent no.1.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of complaint No. NACT/594/2021 dated 11.05.2020 (but filed on 12.05.2021) (Annexure P-1) titled as Raj Rani vs. Ahuja Gift House, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Vide order dated 23.01.2025, passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the following order was passed:-

“Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of complaint bearing No.NACT/594/2021 dated 11.05.2020 (filed on 12.05.2021) titled as 'Raj Rani Vs. Ahuja Gift House and another and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the complaint (supra), as he has no concern with the partnership firm i.e. Ahuja Gift House, Kotkapura, District Faridkot. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Registration Certificate (Annexure P-3) and submits that the aforementioned partnership firm is managed by Devinder Singh and Harinder Singh and the petitioner has neither



issued the cheque in question nor it is issued from his account.

On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that it has been specifically pleaded in para Nos.1 & 2 of the complaint (supra) that the petitioner was approached by complainant-respondent No.1 and he was present at the shop along with his father Harinder Singh and the cheque in question was issued by the petitioner and now he is denying that he has not signed the same. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 refers to Annexure P-4 to submit that the petitioner issued the cheque in question.

In view of the above, learned trial Court is directed to invoke the provisions of Section 311-A of Cr.P.C. and direct the petitioner to give his specimen signatures and also to obtain his admitted signatures from his bank account or from any other relevant source and thereafter, send the same along with the cheque State Forensic Science Laboratory for comparison along with the cheque to ascertain whether the cheque in question was signed by the petitioner or not.

Adjourned to 16.05.2025.

Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to learned trial Court for compliance.”

3. Compliance Report dated 27.10.2025 sent by Judicial Magistrate Ist class, Faridkot, received and perused. Keeping in view the report that comparison of signatures show differences and they need some more admitted signatures, which are not available as per an affidavit filed by the petitioner and it is not a disputed question that they have not filed any reply of the Legal Notice dated 21.04.2021 issued on behalf of the complainant. The firm Ahuja Gift House, Kotkapura, District Faridkot is existing one and it is not the case that the cheque has been misused or taken away by the complainant. The petitioner is related to the co-accused as son



and he is not a stranger who is partner in the firm in whose name the cheque in question was issued.

4. So, taking into consideration the fact that this is a disputed fact which can be adjudicated at the time of trial after receiving the evidence from both parties. So, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition for quashing of complaint No. NACT/594/2021 dated 11.05.2020 (but filed on 12.05.2021) (Annexure P-1) titled as Raj Rani vs. Ahuja Gift House and and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, at this stage, and the same is hereby dismissed.

02.02.2026

mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No