



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225

CRM-M-9266-2026
Decided on : 17.04.2026

Ramesh Yadav . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Manpreet, Advocate for
Mr. Siddarth, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashwani Kumar Saini, DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Ramesh Yadav, aged about 40 years	59	28.01.2026	61 of Excise Act, 1914 (Haryana Amended Bill, 2020)	Sadar	Hlsar

2. In the present case, on 17.02.2026, following order was passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court:-

“
Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR, however, his name has been surfaced in the disclosure statement of co-accused namely Ashish from whom 205 boxes (2460 bottles) of country made liquor marka Shehnai were recovered. The said statement has no evidentiary value. The allegation against the petitioner is that the said vehicle from which recovery has been made belongs to the petitioner. He



further submits that co-accused Ashish has already been granted the concession of regular bail by the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar, vide order dated 05.02.2026. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

Adjourned to 17.04.2026.

In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. He shall join the investigation and co-operate with the investigating agency and shall not leave country without prior permission of this Court.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 17.02.2026, passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 17.02.2026, passed by this Court is hereby made **absolute**.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.



7. Accordingly, petition stands **disposed of**, accordingly.
8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 17, 2026

J.Ram

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>