



115
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-876-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

AMRIT LAL BANSAL

....Appellant(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: None for the applicant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

CM-2186-LPA-2026

1. The matter was called thrice today but nobody has appeared on behalf of the applicant. Therefore, this Court would proceed to consider the present application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act on the basis of the contents of the application.

2. The present application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act seeking condonation of delay of 1029 days in filing of the present appeal. As per the grounds taken in the application, it is so stated that the applicant had earlier filed a review application against the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge, which was dismissed and thereafter, it has been stated in the application that some documents were required to effectively assail the findings recorded by the learned Single Judge but the



applicant could not get the same despite making efforts by filing an application under the Right to Information Act.

3. The aforesaid review application was dismissed on 24.09.2025 and even thereafter, after a lapse of about five months, the present appeal was filed on 02.02.2026. Therefore, this Court is of the considered view that such a huge delay of 1029 days cannot be condoned on the basis of the aforesaid reasons as they do not constitute a sufficient cause within the ambit of Section 5 of the Limitation Act.

4. In view of the above, the present application is devoid of any merit and the same is hereby dismissed.

5. Since the application for condonation of delay has been dismissed, the main appeal is also dismissed.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
rakesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No