



CWP-5194-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-5194-2026

Date of Decision: 12.03.2026

Baljinder Kaur**...Petitioner****Versus****Union of India and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel

(through video conferencing) and

Mr. Vinayak Atri, Advocate and

Ms. Indu Bala Sharma, Advocate

for respondent Nos.1 and 3- Union of India

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Special Public Prosecutor and

Ms. Anju Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2-NCB

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of orders dated 07.04.2023 and 31.08.2023 whereby respondent has attached her property.

2. The respondent by impugned orders in terms of Section 68F(2) of Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 has attached property of the petitioner who was arrested on 17.02.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that impugned orders are appealable, thus, petitioner should be relegated to alternative remedy.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition, however, submits that delay in filing the

appeal may be condoned.

5. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to petitioner to file appeal before Appellate Authority which while considering application for condonation of delay shall take care of the fact that petitioner’s husband was in custody at the time of passing impugned orders and she was also arrested later on.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

12.03.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No