



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-9528-2026

AAKASH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 08.04.2026

Date of uploading: 08.04.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. ORAL

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 455 dated 25.12.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 351(2), 3(5), 115, 126 of BNS, at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind.

2. On 18.02.2026, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail and has duly joined, however, mere statement that he is not cooperating, the same has been cancelled, otherwise the allegation against the petitioner is of having caused injury on the head of the complainant, which was not dangerous to life. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate. Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B. S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 26.02.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on



interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS. However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 19.03.2026.”

3. Reply by way of an affidavit of Sanjay Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Uchana, District Jind has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same be taken on record. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 18.02.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 18.02.2026, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.



- 8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
- 9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No