



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4074-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.04.2026**

Sat Narain

... Petitioner

Vs.

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd. and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Swastik Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to re-employ the petitioner along with continuity of service on a post carrying equivalent scale of pay to the post of Assistant Lineman, which he was holding till his acquiring permanent disability due to accident while on duty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that on 13.12.1999, learned counsel for the respondents informed the Court that in case

the petitioner deposits all the terminal benefits drawn by him, he would be re-employed. Further, on 23.03.2000, Law Officer of the respondent-Nigam appeared before the Court and stated that the petitioner has been appointed as Peon on account of acquiring disability while performing his duties and he joined on 15.03.2000. He further submits that the petitioner has now retired from service, as such, nothing survives in the present petition and the same be disposed of having been rendered as infructuous.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. The pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also be disposed of.

29.04.2026
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No