



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2250-2026

Date of decision :11.03.2026

SHAMSHER SINGH CHEEMA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Jangveer Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Attar Ahmed, AAG, Punjab.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to assess the threat perception faced by the petitioner and to provide adequate security.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there was an attempt to kidnap the son of the petitioner who is actively involved in Punjab politics and is a member of a political party. Threatening calls have been made on the phone of the petitioner on multiple occasions. Therefore, he be provided adequate protection and his representation dated 30.11.2025 (Annexure P-5) be decided expeditiously.

The learned State counsel on instructions submits that there is no evidence that the petitioner was threatened from across the border or that there was an attempt to kidnap his son. DDR registered at the

instance of the petitioner was way back in the year 2023. Therefore, the petitioner does not require any protection.

Heard.

In view of the stand taken by the State, I find no merit in the present petition. Therefore, the same stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No