



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-663-2021

Date of decision: 19.01.2026

Rajinder Sehgal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Jasmeet Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 03.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jalandhar, whereby applications filed under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC (Annexures P-3 and P-4) filed by the petitioners/defendants for rejecting the plaint being undervalued and deficiently stamped has been dismissed.

2. During the course of arguments, a consensus has arrived at between the parties and in view of the same, the present revision petition is disposed of with the following observations/directions:-

- i) The petitioners would move an application under Order 14 Rule 5 CPC within a period of two weeks from today for the purpose of



framing of an additional issue with respect to Court fee. The said application would be allowed by the trial Court as expeditiously as possible and issue with respect to court fee would be framed. Both the parties would be given opportunity to lead evidence on the said aspect.

- ii) The said issue along with other issues would be decided at the time of final arguments after taking the evidence and pleadings of the parties on record and the said issue with respect to court fee would be decided de hors of the observations made by the trial Court in the impugned order.
- iii) It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the said issue and both the parties would be at liberty to raise all the pleas in accordance with law.

19.01.2026*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**