

CRM-M-10148-2025 and
CRM-M-34090-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

324

CRM-M-10148-2025

Manish Pandey and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CRM-M-34090-2025

Anurag Saklani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

Decided on : 14.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinay Sehrawat, AAG Haryana


Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 30.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.


DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
8	17.01.2025	318(4) and 61 of BNS, 2023	Cyber Crime, District Karnal



2. Vide order dated 21.02.2025 and 07.07.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.
3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Karnal, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.
4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original in CRM-M-10148-2025, along with its report. The relevant part of the report dated 04.04.2025 is reproduced herein below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Four accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	No, accused Anurag Saklani is not party to the compromise
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	Anurag Saklani is left out/not arrayed as party in the petition.
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and	Yes



CRM-M-10148-2025 and
CRM-M-34090-2025

	without any coercion or undue influence	
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Anurag Saklani is not party to the quashing petition

5. The relevant part of the report dated 23.07.2025 in CRM-M-34090-2025 is reproduced herein below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Four accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes, complainant – Deshvir and accused persons namely Manish Pandey, Jitender Jhariya and Roshan Chauhan have already entered into a compromise in CRM-M-10148-2025. However, accused – Anurag Saklani has compromised with the complainant in the present case i.e. CRM-M-34090-2025.
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	NA



CRM-M-10148-2025 and
CRM-M-34090-2025

4

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Karnal, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

9. Petitions stand disposed of.

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

14.01.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO