



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-728-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2026

Jit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Preeti Yadav

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner (through Virtual mode).
Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

CWP-21548-2025 was disposed of vide order dated 30.07.2025 with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the representation dated 21.01.2025 submitted by the petitioner.

2. Short reply by way of compliance affidavit of Mr. Varjeet Walia, Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, on behalf of respondent No.1, filed in Court, is taken on record. As per the said affidavit, a speaking order dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure R-1/T) has been passed, and the claim of the petitioner has been rejected. As per the speaking order, 15 persons are found to have encroached upon Government land and a petition under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Land (Regulation) Act, has been filed.

3. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to press the instant contempt petition and shall, if so advised, avail all such other remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said order.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 5, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No