



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4869-2026

Date of decision : 17.03.2026

Shri Sanatan Dharam Pathshala, Jhajjar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate and
Mr. Amit Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(Oral)

1. Prayer made in the writ petition is two fold. Firstly, petitioner has stated that an application for land pooling has been submitted through receipt No.2125 dated 22.04.2013, which is not being decided by the respondents. Upon instructions from Mr. Amit Siwach, ADA, HSVP, Jhajjar, State counsel submits that under rehabilitation policy dated 10.09.2012, an application for land pooling had to be made within a period of 60 days. He submits that as an application (Annexure P-2) was submitted by the petitioner after the expiry of prescribed period, it cannot be considered.

2. Be that as it may, since an application filed by the petitioner is pending, competent authority is directed to pass an appropriate order thereon within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of

this order.

3. Secondly, petitioner has asserted that compensation for land acquired under Award No.11 dated 04.07.2014 has not been deposited. Upon instructions, State counsel submits that more than Rs.2.38 crore has been deposited vide cheque No.841129 dated 05.12.2018 with the Additional District Judge, Jhajjar and there is some dispute regarding disbursement of compensation.

4. Be that as it may, as the amount has been deposited, petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application before the competent Court for release of the amount as per his entitlement.

5. In case, it transpires that the amount as stated by State counsel has not been deposited with the learned Additional District Judge concerned, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the writ petition.

6. Writ petition is disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

17.03.2026
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No