

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9527-2026
Date of Decision : 18.05.2026

SATTA...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA....Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gautam Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Satta, aged about 41 years	226	03.12.2025	20 NDPS Act, 1985 (later on added Sections 29 NDPS Act, 1985)	Pillu Khera	Jind

2. On 18.02.2026, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.226 dated 03.12.2025 registered under Section 20 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Second 29 of NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station Pillu Khera, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner was not named in the FIR and his name has been surfaced in the disclosure statement of co-accused, namely Shamsher,



from whom 288 grams of 'charas' was recovered and the allegation against the petitioner is that Shamsher has purchased the said contraband from the petitioner for a sum of Rs.30,000/-. He further submits that disclosure statement of the co-accused has no evidentiary value. He has placed reliance upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Tofan Singh Vs. State of Tamil Nadu : 2021(4) SCC 1. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that the petitioner was involved in another case registered under Indian Penal Code, 1860 in the year 2010, however, he was acquitted in the said case. He further submits that the mobile phone of the petitioner is required for further investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to submit the mobile phone of the petitioner to the Investigating Officer within one week from today.

Adjourned to 18.05.2026.

In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. He shall join the investigation and co-operate with the investigating agency and shall not leave country without prior permission of this Court."

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 18.02.2026 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 23.02.2026 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 18.02.2026 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 18, 2026

ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*