



**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*\*

**CWP-4969-2026 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:12.03.2026**

ARYANS COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

....PETITIONER(S)

***VERSUS***

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate and  
Mr. Paras Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Karan S. Gill, Standing Counsel  
for the respondent(s)-NCTE.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner is an educational institution which is aggrieved by the order dated 22.09.2023 contained in Annexure P-1, as also the appellate order, whereby the recognition granted to the petitioner-Institution has been withdrawn on the ground that sufficient number of teachers are not available.

2. The orders are primarily assailed on the ground that during the pendency of the appeal, details of teachers appointed in the Institute, duly certified by Punjabi University, Patiala were furnished. Though such fact has been noticed in the appellate order but the same has not been taken into consideration.

3. It is urged on behalf of the petitioner that the premise on which such list has been ignored is that the list of teachers is not certified by the competent authority. It is then submitted that the affiliating university is Punjabi University, Patiala, and it is the Dean, College Development Council (DCDC) who has certified the list of teachers

appointed in the college. It is pointed out that appointment letters and other materials relating to the appointment of such teachers have also been placed before the authorities. The submission is that non-consideration of the relevant material has caused severe prejudice to the petitioner inasmuch as the recognition has not been restored.

4. Taking note of such submissions, we passed the following order on 23.02.2026:-

*“The contention is that the orders passed by the authorities refusing to withdraw the cancellation of recognition are premised on the factual plea that the various shortcomings pointed out earlier have not been addressed. The petitioner submits that these shortcomings have, in fact, been addressed and that relevant details in this regard have been furnished, but have not been taken note of.*

*Before considering the plea advanced in the matter, we call upon the counsel for the respondent(s)-University, who appeared on advance notice, to obtain appropriate instructions on the factual aspects as have been placed before us.*

*Adjourned to 12.03.2026.”*

5. Learned counsel representing the respondent(s)-NCTE has obtained instructions according to which the appellate authority has taken all the facts into consideration.

6. We have heard the learned counsel for the respective parties and have perused the materials appended with the instant writ petition.

7. From the perusal of the material brought on record, it does not appear that the appellate authority has taken into consideration the list of teachers duly authenticated by the Dean, College Development

Council while deciding the issue of availability of the required number of teachers in the college.

8. In such view of the matter, we are of the opinion that the ends of justice would be better met if the appellate authority is requested to re-consider the matter in the light of the above-referred facts placed on record. In order to facilitate such fresh consideration, we permit the petitioner to appear before the appellate authority along with a certified copy of this order within *two weeks* from today. The appellate authority is requested to afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a fresh order after taking into consideration the relevant materials and documents brought on record.

9. The orders impugned in the writ petition shall abide by the fresh order to be passed by the appellate authority, as indicated above. The requisite decision shall be taken by the appellate authority within a period of *six weeks*.

10. The instant writ petition is accordingly ***disposed of***.

11. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]**  
**JUDGE**

**[ROHIT KAPOOR]**  
**JUDGE**

**MARCH 12, 2026**

*Rahul Joshi*

|                              |        |
|------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |