



204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-1081-2002(O&M)
Date of decision : 17.04.2026**

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala

...Appellant

Versus

Nanak Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that during the pendency of the appeal, respondent No.1- Nanak Singh has expired. She submits that in spite of making best efforts, she was not able to contact the legal representatives of respondent No.1.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant further submits that judgment and decree of the learned First Appellate Court, which is under challenge in the present regular second appeal has already been stayed by this Court, hence, the present regular second appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the same in case, any effort is made by the respondents to get the judgment and decree of the learned First Appellate Court executed.

3. Ordered accordingly.



4. Executing Court is also directed that without there being any direction from this Court, judgment and decree of the learned First Appellate Court be not executed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

17.04.2026	(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)	
<i>d.gulati</i>	JUDGE	
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No