

246

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 1869 of 2003 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 28.04.2026

PEERA RAM

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Swantanter Kumar, AETO (Excise), Sirsa.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel, on the basis of instructions as well as the document placed on record, submits that the entire recovery sought to be effected from the appellant has already been recovered and, thus, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, does not dispute the said position.
3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, which has not been controverted, nothing survives for adjudication in the present appeal.
4. Accordingly, the present Regular Second Appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

APRIL 28, 2026

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

nitin

JUDGE

Whether Speaking

Yes

Whether Reportable

No