



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Ompati ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

Present: Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

- Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a bare perusal of the FIR reveals that the petitioner, who did not play constructive role in the incident, has been falsely implicated. All the allegations levelled*

are frivolous. In any case, for the sake arguments if story as portrayed by complainant is taken to be true at its face value, it is the estranged husband of the petitioner against whom specific allegations of inducement, fraud etc have been levelled. It is further the submission of learned counsel that petitioner had severed matrimonial ties with her husband. Their marriage was legally dissolved by the court of law. In this context, order dated 02.09.2022 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Karnal, dissolving the marriage has also been referred to. Continuing further, learned counsel contends that after the FIR was lodged, the matter was thoroughly investigated by the Investigating Agency of the State, who did not find any substance in the allegations levelled against the present petitioner and thus submitted the cancellation report qua her. Pursuant thereto, on culmination of investigation when challan was filed, charges were framed, complainant stepped in the witness box as PW4 and reiterated the averments made in the complaint (Annexure P.1). Relying totally on the bald allegations levelled by the complainant, petitioner was summoned in a routine mechanical manner by the trial Court. Learned counsel contends that in the wake of challan having been filed, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not needed, for nothing is to be recovered from her. Being law abiding citizen, she is willing to join the investigation as and when called for.

Heard. Documents perused.

Notice of motion for 17.03.2026.

Meanwhile, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and cooperate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, she shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 16.02.2026 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in

future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 5. The petition stands allowed.
- 6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

17.03.2026
Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No