



CWP-4572-2026 (O & M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-4572-2026 (O & M)
Date of Decision:22.04.2026

GEE BEE EAGLES PRIVATE LIMITED

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate,
Mr. Jatin Bansal, Advocate,
Ms. Praneet Kaur, Advocate,
Ms. Kudrit Sra, Advocate,
Mr. Bhavesh Puri, Advocate and
Ms. Mehak Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3-GMADA.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that consent of petitioner for reference of dispute to an Arbitrator has been inadvertently recorded in the previous order. This statement is taken on record.

**CWP-4572-2026 (O & M)****-2-**

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner contends that demand of charges for additional Floor Area Ratio (FAR) raised by Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), vide impugned letter dated 03.10.2025, Annexure P-8, is de hors to the policy notification dated 17.08.2016, Annexure P-2. Counsel states that by virtue of Annexure P-11, on account of non-deposit of the requisite amount, approval of building plans for the site was cancelled and thereafter petitioner submitted a representation dated 17.12.2025, Annexure P-12. Counsel has pointed out that by virtue of Annexure P-13, GMADA has intimated that till the time representation is decided, cancellation vide Annexure P-11 shall be kept in abeyance.

3. Upon instructions from Ms. Rupinder Kaur, Asst. Estate Officer, counsel for GMADA states that representation is pending and till the time a decision is taken thereon, cancellation letter shall remain in abeyance.

4. At this stage, learned senior counsel for the petitioner has requested that he may be given an opportunity to file a comprehensive representation, upon which, an appropriate decision may be taken by respondents after considering all submissions made therein including the documents appended with the representation.

5. Let petitioner move a comprehensive representation within a period of two weeks from today. In case, such a representation is moved, the same shall be decided by competent authority within a period of two months thereafter. Petitioner may also be afforded an opportunity of hearing by competent authority prior to passing of any order.



CWP-4572-2026 (O & M) -3-

6.

In view of the statement given by counsel for GMADA, Annexure P-11 shall remain in abeyance till the time a final decision is taken by GMADA.
7.

With the above direction, writ petition is disposed of.
8.

Pending miscellaneous application(s) shall also stand disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
sheetal

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No